



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,644	11/02/2001	Bernd Holz Auf Der Heide	112740-334	6765
29177 75	90 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
,	& LLOYD, LLC		BECKER, S	HAWN M
P. O. BOX 113: CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2173	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V		
۲.		10/031,644	HEIDE ET AL.	OF.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shawn M. Becker	2173			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3-5 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiroment				
ا_(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).		
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
`	and and and addition a more		 -			
Attachmen	t(c)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/28/04</u> .	6) Other:	aton Application (FTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Claims 1-3 were originally filed. The preliminary amendment cancels claims 1-2 and adds claims 3-4, but fails to cancel the original claim 3.

Misnumbered claims 3-4 been renumbered 4-5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is rejected for depending on a canceled claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/031,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,703,571 to Cannon et al. (hereinafter Cannon).

Referring to claim 4, Cannon discloses a method for creating text (messages; i.e. col. 3, lines 1-8), the method comprising the steps of:

selecting a first information unit (addressee; i.e. Dispatcher) from a first selection set (i.e. Fig. 2; Addressee list);

providing each first information unit with at least one associated second information unit (canned message) from a second selection set (i.e. Fig. 2; Dispatcher's Message List); and selecting a second information unit (canned message; i.e. "Delivery Complete"), and creating the text upon succession of the select second information unit. See col. 3, lines 9-29 and col. 4, lines 4-9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannon and U.S. Patent No. 6,040,829 to Croy et al. (hereinafter Croy).

Referring to claim 5, Cannon discloses that selecting an item from a first list (i.e. Addressee list) results in the display of a second list (i.e. Dispatcher's Message List), but Cannon

Art Unit: 2173

does not explicitly show a graphical association between the second information units from the second selection set and the first information unit from the first selection set.

However, Croy teaches a method of displaying first information sets (i.e. Figs. 12-13, 1210) containing first information units (i.e. Topic, Time, Station, etc.), wherein each first information unit contains a menu (second selection set; 1215) of second information units (i.e. Movies, Sports, Entertainment, etc.), which is a hierarchical menu structure similar to the menu structure of Cannon. Croy displays a graphical association between the second information units from the second selection set and the first information unit from the first selection set via a graphical arrow (i.e. Fig. 12, 1225 and Fig. 13, 1325). See Croy at col. 7, lines 40-57 and col. 13, line 65 – col. 14, line 26.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a graphical association between the second information units (canned messages) from the second selection set (Message lists) and the first information unit (addressee) from the first selection set (Addressee list) of Cannon as done (i.e. via graphical arrow) in Croy in order for the user to easily trace his/her way through the hierarchical menu of Cannon as taught by Croy (col. 7, lines 53-55).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach hierarchical menu structures and methods of creating text messages.

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn M. Becker whose telephone number is (703) 305-7756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smb

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2107